The Honorable Mike Johnson Speaker United States House of Representatives H-232, The Capitol Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Mitch McConnell Minority Leader United States Senate S-230, The Capitol Washington, DC 20510 The Honorable Charles Schumer Majority Leader United States Senate S-221, The Capitol Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Hakeem Jeffries Minority Leader United States House of Representatives H-204, The Capitol Washington, DC 20515

Dear Speaker Johnson, Majority Leader Schumer, Minority Leader McConnell, and Minority Leader Jeffries:

The undersigned physician organizations representing national and state medical societies write in opposition to H.R. 1770/S. 2477, the "Equitable Community Access to Pharmacist Services Act." This bill would inappropriately allow pharmacists to perform services that would otherwise be covered if they had been furnished by a physician, test and treat patients for certain illnesses (including illnesses that address a public health need or relate to a public health emergency) and expand Medicare payment for pharmacists in limited but significant ways.

Our organizations strongly support the team approach to patient care, with each member of the team serving in a clearly defined role as determined by his or her education and training. While we greatly value the contribution of pharmacists to the physician-led care team and recognize that pharmacists are well-trained in activities like dispensing pharmaceuticals, advising patients on the use of medications, and understanding drug-drug interactions, pharmacists' training does not include diagnosing patients or formulating a plan of treatment. Furthermore, their training is substantially less extensive than that of physicians, who undergo four years of medical school, three to seven years of residency training, and 10,000-16,000 hours of clinical training that is required of physicians. In contrast, pharmacists are required to complete only four years of education, *no residency*, and 1,740 hours of clinical training.

More than the vast difference in hours of education and training, it is also the differences in the rigor of the curriculum and clinical training that separate physicians and pharmacists. In order to be recognized as a physician with an unlimited medical license, medical students' education must prepare them to enter any field of practice and includes content and clinical experiences from which they develop their clinical judgment and medical decision-making skills, including directly managing patients in all aspects of medicine. By gradually reducing physician oversight, residents are able to develop their skills with progressively increasing autonomy, thus preparing these physicians for the independent practice of medicine. This training protocol prepares physicians to perform differential diagnoses and develop a treatment plan within the context of a patient's overall health condition. As such, 95 percent of U.S. voters in a recent survey said it is important to them for a physician to be involved in diagnosis and treatment decisions.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/ama-scope-of-practice-stand-alone-polling-toplines.pdf.

By contrast, while pharmacists are well-trained as medication experts within an interprofessional team, their training in patient care is limited. Most of the Doctor of Pharmacy (PharmD) curriculum across the country consists of instruction in applied sciences and therapeutics. Residency is not required, and the overwhelming majority of pharmacists working in the community setting have not undergone residency training. While pharmacy students do engage in a modest amount of "practice experiences" during their education, the training is not focused on providing medical care to patients. In fact, the practice experiences in the PharmD curriculum do not include performing a physical examination, making a diagnosis, triaging severity, or prescribing. Furthermore, neither the didactic nor practice experience component of a pharmacist's education prepares them to clinically assess patients or perform differential diagnoses to discern the root cause of a symptom. In short, pharmacists do not have the education and training necessary to diagnose and treat patients, which raises serious concerns about the underlying premise of this legislation.

We are particularly concerned that H.R. 1770 would permit pharmacists to evaluate and manage patients for the testing or treatment of COVID-19, influenza, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), or streptococcal pharyngitis. These diagnoses would be guided by the results of a CLIA-waived test, but this is problematic because the results of a test alone are not enough to make a conclusive diagnosis or to rule out other complications. For example, physicians are trained in residency to identify a serious illness, such as a respiratory disease, and to perform differential diagnoses; pharmacists simply are not. Without a comprehensive physical exam by a trained professional done in the full context of the patient's health, the severity of an illness is easily under-appreciated, and the underlying causes of symptoms may be overlooked.

Equally concerning is the fact that pharmacists in the community setting said they already have so much work to do that everything cannot be done well.<sup>2</sup> The problem appears systemic with 71 percent of all pharmacists in a pharmacy chain setting and 91 percent of pharmacists working in community pharmacies rating their workload as high or excessively high. Moreover, pharmacists reported that their "three most common 'highly stressful' job aspects were 'having so much work to do that everything cannot be done well' (43 percent reporting 'highly stressful'), 'working at current staffing levels' (37 percent reporting 'highly stressful'), and 'fearing that a patient will be harmed by a medication error' (35 percent reporting 'highly stressful')."<sup>3</sup> Scope expansions like the one proposed in this bill would only add further responsibilities to an overburdened pharmacist workforce and threaten patient safety due to their insufficient training in these activities.

Furthermore, each member of the physician-led health care team has an important role to play while working together to ensure improvements in patient care. H.R. 1770/S. 2477 would allow pharmacists to test, treat, and, therefore, initiate drug regimens for COVID-19, influenza, RSV, or streptococcal pharyngitis. This extensive list has the potential to vastly expand pharmacists' scope of practice beyond state licensure laws that have been thoughtfully put in place, most often by the state board of pharmacy. Moreover, pharmacists, though trained in the chemical components of medication, do not have the holistic or comprehensive medical knowledge and approach of physicians. As such, allowing pharmacists, simply because they are licensed in a specific state in that profession, to initiate drug regimens, administer drugs, and generally treat certain illnesses, could cause major complications for patients when their complete

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.bls.gov/ooh/healthcare/pharmacists.htm#tab-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.aacp.org/sites/default/files/2020-03/2019 NPWS Final Report.pdf.

health is not adequately considered or adequately documented within an electronic health record. In fact, select COVID-19 therapeutics, while highly effective, are accompanied by multiple pages of information related to drug interactions which may negatively impact an individual's health if their complete health history is not adequately considered. Moreover, special populations, such as patients under 18 years of age or individuals who are pregnant or breastfeeding, require the specialty knowledge brought by a physician to make evidence-based, patient-centered decisions.

Physician-led, team-based care has a proven track record of success in improving the quality of patient care, reducing costs, and allowing all health care professionals to spend more time with their patients. We are concerned that the policy changes within H.R. 1770/S. 2477 conflict with this approach to health care delivery and could result in patients forgoing holistic wellness exams, comprehensive preventive care, early diagnosis, and optimal therapy, which could have devastating long-term consequences.

Finally, the expansion of pharmacists' scope of practice is highly likely to generate a significant score from the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) due to the inclusion of a new provider treating these particular conditions. The policy changes outlined in H.R. 1770/S. 2477 should be rejected because they will further stress the federal healthcare system that is already under resourced and riddled with fiscal problems. Misdiagnoses, siloed and uncoordinated care, and patients not receiving the right care at the right time all lead to worse patient outcomes and add costs to our health care system. We should respect the success of coordinated team-based care and put patient safety first by rejecting the misguided approach in this legislation.

Therefore, we strongly encourage you to protect the health and safety of our patient population and oppose the passage of H.R. 1770/S. 2477.

## Sincerely,

American Medical Association

Academy of Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry

American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology

American Academy of Dermatology Association

American Academy of Emergency Medicine

American Academy of Facial Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery

American Academy of Family Physicians

American Academy of Neurology

American Academy of Ophthalmology

American Academy of Otolaryngic Allergy

American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery

American Academy of Pediatrics

American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons

American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology

American College of Emergency Physicians

American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics

American College of Physicians

American College of Radiology

American Medical Women's Association

American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society

American Osteopathic Association

American Psychiatric Association

American Society for Clinical Pathology

American Society for Dermatologic Surgery Association

American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

American Society for Laser Medicine & Surgery, Inc.

American Society for Radiation Oncology

American Society of Anesthesiologists

American Society of Dermatopathology

American Society of Neuroradiology

American Society of Plastic Surgeons

American Urological Association

American Venous Forum

Association of Academic Radiology

International Pain and Spine Intervention Society

North American Neuromodulation Society

Renal Physicians Association

Society for Pediatric Dermatology

Society for Vascular Surgery

Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons

Society of Interventional Radiology

Medical Association of the State of Alabama

Alaska State Medical Association

Arizona Medical Association

Arkansas Medical Society

California Medical Association

Colorado Medical Society

Connecticut State Medical Society

Medical Society of Delaware

Medical Society of the District of Columbia

Florida Medical Association Inc

Medical Association of Georgia

Hawaii Medical Association

Idaho Medical Association

Illinois State Medical Society

Indiana State Medical Association

Iowa Medical Society

Kansas Medical Society

Kentucky Medical Association

Louisiana State Medical Society

Maine Medical Association

MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society

Massachusetts Medical Society

Michigan State Medical Society

Minnesota Medical Association Mississippi State Medical Association Missouri State Medical Association Montana Medical Association Nebraska Medical Association Nevada State Medical Association New Hampshire Medical Society Medical Society of New Jersey New Mexico Medical Society Medical Society of the State of New York North Carolina Medical Society North Dakota Medical Association Ohio State Medical Association Oklahoma State Medical Association Oregon Medical Association Pennsylvania Medical Society Rhode Island Medical Society South Carolina Medical Association South Dakota State Medical Association Tennessee Medical Association Texas Medical Association **Utah Medical Association** Vermont Medical Society Medical Society of Virginia Washington State Medical Association West Virginia State Medical Association Wisconsin Medical Society Wyoming Medical Society

Cc: The Honorable John Thune, United States Senate
The Honorable Mark Warner, United States Senate
The Honorable Adrian Smith, United States House of Representatives
The Honorable Brad Schneider, United States House of Representatives